



## The Abecedarian Approach

and

## Highlights of Research Findings from Abecedarian Studies

By: Dr Joseph Sparling

The keynote speech by Dr Joseph Sparling to the SNAICC National Conference Alice Springs was based around the following two papers. The first, *The Abecedarian Approach*, is based on the practice; the second is based around *Highlights of Research Findings*.

Joseph Sparling, PhD, is an early childhood educator and former teacher and principal, is Research Professor at Georgetown University, Fellow of the Frank Porter Child Development Institute of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and the first author of *LearningGames*®, *Partners for Learning*, and *Conversation Books*, educational resources that have been used widely in the United States. He has also developed curriculum and provided training and intervention services for orphanages in Romania.

Sparling was a co-principal investigator on the Abecedarian studies, three longitudinal research projects conducted over 30 years with at-risk children. Through this research, he demonstrated the efficacy of early childhood education by developing simple tools that doubled children's learning capacity and improved their health, social emotional well-being, employment and other life outcomes.

His landmark Carolina Abecedarian project was a combined

early intervention for children of poor and minority families with child care and used an experimental design project involving experiment and comparison groups. Results indicated that by the age of eight, children who received preschool intervention subsequently performed better academically than those who had no preschool experience. Benefits were gained regardless of disadvantage, family factors and other contextual issues.

In mid-2010, Sparling was an academic visitor for two months at the University of Melbourne, where he conducted research into the potential implementation of the Abecedarian Approach in Australia (titled 'the 3A concept'). His visit raised interest regarding the applicability of the 3A concept to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and families.


Sparling is currently on a study trip in Australia with the support of SNAICC to consult further on the 3A concept with relevant government, community and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander representatives.

A recorded interview with Melbourne Graduate School of Education can be heard at [www.snaicc.asn.au/policy/conference](http://www.snaicc.asn.au/policy/conference) or at <http://upclose.unimelb.edu.au/episode/285>.

## The Abecedarian Approach

The Abecedarian Approach is a suite of teaching and learning strategies that were developed for and tested in the Abecedarian Studies, three longitudinal investigations to test the power of high quality early childhood services to improve the later academic achievement of children from at-risk and under-resourced families. The long term positive results of these randomised, controlled trials are now known throughout the world and form a major part of the evidence that supports our current belief in the efficacy of high quality early childhood programs.

The Abecedarian Approach is comprised of these elements:



The Abecedarian elements can be used in classrooms, family day care, parent groups, and home visits – and can provide a link between these service components. In the Abecedarian Studies, these elements were used in long day care combined with home visits and/or parent groups.

### **LearningGames.**

*LearningGames* is a set of 200 individualized, game-like activities that are shared between an adult and 1 or 2 children. Each child experiences at least 1 or 2 *LearningGames* episodes per day. They include many items that are familiar to parents and teachers. They are based on the concepts of Vygotsky and Piaget and can be thought of as "bite-size pieces of curriculum."



### There are 3 types of games:

- Games that are seamlessly integrated into the routines of caregiving
- Games in which the adult joins and enriches in-progress child play
- Games in which the adult initiates an interaction, inviting the child to join in.

### These are basic principles of LearningGames:

- Simple but deep
- Focuses on adult-child interaction (mainly 1-on-1 interactions & some small group experiences)
- Made up of individual items (pages) that teachers can use but can also be given to parents – parents and teachers use the same materials
- Flexible – used in different types of programs (including day care and home visiting).

### Conversational reading

The second element of the Abecedarian Approach is modelled on the way parents and children read together rather than the way reading typically occurs in the classroom. Conversational reading is based on the concept of “joint attention.” In the Abecedarian Approach every child (age 0-3) is read to individually each day, and pairs of children ages 3-4 are read to each day.

### These are basic principles of Conversational Reading:

- Goes back and forth, like a conversation
- Appropriate from infancy through age four (or older)
- Engages one or two children at a time
- Employs an easy and memorable strategy consisting of only three parts (3S is the memory aid for these three parts)



- The 3 S's are used as stair steps, each a little more advanced than the last
- Can be used by both educators and parents.

### Language Priority

In the third element of the Abecedarian Approach, educators and parents emphasise language throughout the day. They respond warmly whenever children make an attempt to “talk” to them. They try to create longer “conversations” with individual children. The 3N Strategy (notice, nudge, narrate) is used to turn any event into an occasion for rich language stimulation.

### These are basic principles of Language Priority:



- Emphasise language in every event of the day
- Respond to children's language overtures
- Extend “conversations” so that they include several turns on the same topic (extended discourse)
- Use a strategy (e.g., 3N) for surrounding spontaneous events with adult language
- Share language priority techniques and explain the importance of language with the child's family.

### Enriched caregiving

The Abecedarian curriculum approach affirms that, in the first five years of life, education and caregiving cannot and should not be thought of as distinctly different activities. The phrase “enriched caregiving” is intended to remind all of us (researchers, parents, caregivers, teachers, and program administrators) that “care” for an infant or young child can and should do several things at once.

Care can meet the vital needs that support life and stimulate growth while also being responsive to the individual child's

own preferences, abilities, and life situation. Further, care frequently can be enriched with educational content.

By highlighting the pivotal role of care in the education of young children, the Abecedarian approach imbues all of the child's day with educational meaning.

### Basic principles of enriched caregiving:

- If possible, divide your group so that each adult has a specific group of children she always cares for (or does most of the care for)
- Respond as quickly as possible, do not wait until the child has cried a lot before going to him or her
- Take educational advantage of the fact that you are in close physical contact with the child during most caregiving routines (speak softly and directly to the child, with eye contact)
- Explain the process of what you are doing and name the objects you touch during care routines (and when the child is ready, invite the child to take the lead in naming the caregiving actions and associated objects)
- Ask questions about what will come next
- Let the child have specific responsibilities during care routines
- Put some appropriate educational materials near the scene of a caregiving event
- Think about the educational content (for example naming colors, textures, or counting) that might fit into a care routine – and include it
- Repeat, repeat, repeat.

*End article 1: The Abecedarian Approach*

## Highlights of Research Findings from the Abecedarian Studies

### Joseph Sparling, Ph.D.

Center on Health and Education, Georgetown University, FPG Child Development Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill  
Teaching Strategies, Inc.. [www.teachingStrategies.coT](http://www.teachingStrategies.coT)


A series of randomised controlled trials (RCT's) called "the Abecedarian studies" demonstrate the significant benefits of high-quality early childhood education for poor and at-risk children and their families. Children in the studies included those at risk from multiple social conditions such as poverty, young maternal age, or low parental education. Other children in two orphanage studies were at risk because of parental abandonment. Importantly, children in some of the studies came from

a wide range of social classes. Many of these children had no additional risk other than being born at low birth weight or with cerebral palsy. Each Abecedarian study used *LearningGames*® as a core component of the educational program delivered through center and/or home intervention. Abecedarian Study 1 began in 1972, and follow-up data were collected through 2009. These are the RTC's:

Randomized Controlled Trials	Location	Duration of Program	Type of Program	Oldest Age of follow-up	
Abecedarian Study 1 (The Abecedarian Project)	Chapel Hill, NC	Birth to 5 years	Center + social work home visits	30 years	
Abecedarian Study 2 (CARE)	Chapel Hill, NC	Birth to 5 years	Center + educational home visits	20 years	
Infant Health and Development Program	Abecedarian Study 3	Boston, MA	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 4	New Haven, CT	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 5	Bronx, NY	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 6	Philadelphia, PA	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 7	Miami, FL	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 8	Little Rock, AK	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 9	Dallas, TX	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
	Abecedarian Study 10	Seattle, WA	Birth to 3 years	Center + educational home visits	18 years
Abecedarian Study 11 (Cerebral Palsy Study)	Baltimore, MD	Age 1 year to age 2 years	Parent training for home intervention	2 years	
Abecedarian Study 12 (Orphanage Study 1)	Iași, Romania	Age 1 year to age 2 years	Home (small group in orphanage)	2 years	
Abecedarian Study 13 (Orphanage Study 2)	Iași, Romania	Age 2 years to age 3 years	Home (small group in orphanage)	3 years	


**What were some of the outcomes for participants in these scientific investigations?**

The following paragraphs and figures highlight some key findings, first in the early years of life, then in the middle and adolescent years, and then in the young adult years. Finally, findings are presented for the parents of the children who were enrolled in the Abecedarian program.



**Percentage of child sample in normal IQ range (>84) by age**

Almost all of the at risk children in both the experimental and control groups of Abecedarian Study 1 were in the normal IQ range at the beginning of the study. Most of those who received the Abecedarian intervention continued to stay in the normal IQ range, while more than half of those who did not receive the intervention fell out of the normal range by 48 months of age. This decline is seen in the descending yellow bars in the accompanying figure (Martin, Ramey, & Ramey, 1990).



**Special education placements by age 15**

When the at-risk young children entered public school, those who did not receive the Abecedarian enriched educational child care program in the first 5 years of life were more than twice as likely to be placed in special education for 1 or more years by the time they reached age 15 (Ramey & Ramey, 1999).

**Adolescent outcomes for low birth weight babies**

What about the results for low birth weight babies who received the Abecedarian program? When the intervention and control groups (pooled from eight sites in Abecedarian Studies 3-10) were compared at age 18. The


intervention group in the 2000–2500 grams birth weight range had these characteristics:

- higher math achievement
- higher receptive vocabulary
- fewer risk taking behaviors (McCormick et al., 2006).

These long term, positive findings are particularly encouraging because they were achieved in a program that lasted from birth to 36 months of age rather than from birth to 60 months of age as in Abecedarian Studies 1 and 2. They underscore the importance of the first 3 years of life. www.TeachingStrategies.com Toll Free: 1.800.637.3652


**Percentage of young adults in a skilled job or higher education**

At age 21, almost 70% of the young adults who had received the Abecedarian intervention in the infancy and preschool years were attending a 4 year college or were employed in a skilled job (such as an electrician or higher), compared to about 40% of those who did not receive the preschool intervention (Campbell, Ramey, et al., 2002).



**Post High School Education for Teen Mothers of Children Studied**

Not only did the children benefit, but benefits accrued for the mothers of the children who received the Abecedarian program. In Abecedarian Study 1, over a third of the parents of the infants enrolled were teenagers. About 80% of the teen mothers whose infants received the Abecedarian program continued on to get post-secondary education, compared to about 30% of teen mothers whose infants did not receive the Abecedarian program. As seen in the red line in the accompanying figure, the teen mothers continued to get more education for as long as 8 years after their children entered the program (Ramey et al., 2000).



**Behavior of parents who received training in key parts of the Abecedarian approach (LearningGames and Conversational Reading)**

In addition to the Abecedarian studies, a national study was commissioned by the U.S. Department of Education’s Institute of Education Sciences of the use of some of the elements of the Abecedarian curriculum approach in Even Start programs.

This RCT was conducted in 120 sites across the USA and analyzed measurements from 2,430 parents and 2,790 3- and 4-year old children. In randomly selected sites, the parents received a parent education program using *LearningGames*, conversational reading, and enriched caregiving as important program components. Outcome variables for the study included parental responsiveness to child and parental interactive reading skill. Both variables were coded from videotaped parent–child interaction sessions. The study produced these parent findings:

1. An Abecedarian parent education program can increase parental responsiveness to child and parental interactive reading skill (Judkins et al., 2008, p.90).
2. These parent behaviors are increased by a combined classroom plus parent education program (Judkins et al., 2008, Table K-3). www.TeachingStrategies.com Toll Free: 1.800.637.3652 Page 3
3. Adding the parent education element creates parent effects above and beyond providing the classroom element alone (Judkins et al, 2008, Table K4).

These findings are presented in statistical form in the table below.

Of interest, one of the measured parent behaviors (responsiveness to child) is positively and significantly correlated to child literacy outcomes and social outcomes (Judkins et al., 2008, Table 7-1, p. 111).

**What curriculum approach produced these multiple, long-term results?**

The Abecedarian Approach is comprised of (1) *LearningGames*, (2) conversational reading, (3) enriched caregiving, and (4) a comprehensive conceptual framework such as *The Creative Curriculum*®. In the various Abecedarian studies, these components have been combined and typically delivered through center-based child care and parent education.

*LearningGames* is the set of adult-child interaction games originally developed for Abecedarian Study 1, and it has been used consistently in all of the Abecedarian studies, even when the other curriculum components were not used. In the cerebral palsy study, *LearningGames* was the only Abecedarian component used. Beyond these scientific studies, *LearningGames* has been implemented successfully in a variety of service–delivery modalities:

- home visiting
- parent education classes
- family child care homes
- child care centers
- pre-K classes
- family literacy programs.

In home visiting, *LearningGames* can be used as a stand-alone curriculum or in conjunction with other curricula, especially *Partners for a Healthy Baby*.

Parent Outcomes	Effects of Combined Curricula on Parents (ECE/PE vs. control)			Incremental Effects of Parenting Curriculum on Parents (ECE/PE vs. ECE only)		
	Effect size	95% CI	p-Value	Effect size	95% CI	p-Value
Interactive reading skill	0.50	0.29,0.71	0.000	0.48	0.29,0.67	0.000
Responsiveness to child	0.18	0.01,0.35	0.032	0.23	0.06,0.40	0.006

## Partial list of Abecedarian research publications *(in chronological order)*

- Ramey, C. T., & Campbell, F. A. (1984). Preventive education for at-risk children: Cognitive consequences of the Carolina Abecedarian Project. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 88*, 515–523.
- Ramey, C. T., Bryant, D. M., Sparling, J. J., & Wasik, B. H. (1985). Educational interventions to enhance intellectual development: Comprehensive daycare vs. family education. In S. Harel & N. Anastasiow (Eds.), *The “at risk” infant: Psychological, social and medical aspects*. Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
- Palmer, F. B., Shapiro, B. K., Wachtel, R. C., Allen, M. C., Hiller, J. E., Harryman, S. E., Mosher, B. S., Meinert, C. L., & Capute, A. J. (1988). The effects of physical therapy on cerebral palsy. A controlled trial in infants with spastic diplegia. *New England Journal of Medicine, 318*, 803–808.
- Ramey, C. T., Bryant, D. M., Campbell, F. A., Sparling, J. J., Wasik, B. H. (1988). Early intervention for high-risk children: The Carolina Early Intervention Program. In R. H. Price, E. L. Cowen, R. P. Lorion, J. Ramos-McKay (Eds.), *Fourteen ounces of prevention: A casebook for practitioners*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- www.TeachingStrategies.com Toll Free: 1.800.637.3652 Page 4
- Martin, S. L., Ramey, C. T., & Ramey, S. L. (1990). The prevention of intellectual impairment in children of impoverished families: Findings of a randomized trial of educational day care. *American Journal of Public Health, 80*, 844–847.
- The Infant Health and Development Program. (1990). Enhancing the outcomes of low-birth-weight, premature infants: A multisite randomized trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 263*(22), 3035–3042.
- Ramey, C. T., Bryant, D. M., Campbell, F. A., Sparling, J. J., & Wasik, B. H. (1990). Early intervention for high-risk children: The Carolina Early Intervention Program. In R. P. Lorion (Ed.), *Protecting the children: Strategies for optimizing emotional and behavioral development* (pp. 33–57). New York: Haworth Press.
- Wasik, B. H., Ramey, C. T., Bryant, D. M., & Sparling, J. J. (1990). A longitudinal study of two early intervention strategies: Project CARE. *Child Development, 61*(6), 1682–1696.
- Sparling, J., Lewis, I., Ramey, C. T., Wasik, B. H., Bryant, D. M., LaVange, L. M. (1991). Partners, a curriculum to help premature, low-birth-weight infants get off to a good start. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 11*(1), 36–55.
- Ramey, C. T., Bryant, D. M., Wasik, B. H., Sparling, J. J., Fendt, K. H., & LaVange, L. M. (1992). The Infant Health and Development Program for low birthweight, premature infants: Program elements, family participation, and child intelligence. *Pediatrics, 3*, 454–465.
- Campbell, F. A. & Ramey, C.T. (1995). Cognitive and school outcomes for high-risk African-American students at middle adolescence: Positive effects of early intervention. *American Educational Research Journal, 32*, 743–772.
- Liaw, F., Meisels, S. J., Brooks-Gunn, J. (1995). The effects of experience of early intervention on low birth weight, premature children: The Infant Health and Development Program, *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 10*, 405–431.
- Burchinal, M. R., Campbell, F. A., Bryant, D. M., Wasik, B. H., & Ramey, C. T. (1997). Early intervention and mediating processes in cognitive performance of children of low-income African American families. *Child Development, 68*, 935–954.
- Campbell, F. A., Helms, R., Sparling, J. J., & Ramey, C. T. (1998). Early childhood programs and success in school. In S. Barnett & S. Boocock (Eds.), *Early childhood care and education for children in poverty*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Ramey, S.L., & Ramey, C.T. (1999). Early experience and early intervention for children “at risk” for developmental delay and mental retardation. [Special issue]. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews, 5*, 1-10.
- Ramey, C. T., Campbell, F. A., Burchinal, M., Skinner, M. L., Gardner, D. M., & Ramey, S. L. (2000). Persistent effects of early intervention on high-risk children and their mothers. *Applied Developmental Science, 4*, 2–14.
- Campbell, F. A., Pungello, E. P., Miller-Johnson, S., Burchinal, M., & Ramey, C. T. (2001). The Development of Cognitive and Academic Abilities: Growth Curves from an Early Childhood Educational Experiment. *Developmental Psychology, 37*, 231–242.
- Campbell, F. A., Ramey, C. T., Pungello, E., Sparling, J., & Miller-Johnson, S. (2002). Early childhood education: Young adult outcomes from the Abecedarian Project. *Applied Developmental Science, 6*(1), 42–57.
- Sparling, J., Dragomir, C., Ramey, S., & Florescu, L. (2005). An educational intervention improves developmental progress of young children in a Romanian orphanage. *Infant Mental Health Journal, 26*(2), 127–142.
- McCormick, M. C., Brooks-Gunn, J., Buka, S. L., Goldman, J., Yu, J., Salganik, M., et al. (2006). Early intervention in low birth weight premature infants: Results at 18 years of age for the Infant Health and Development Program. *Pediatrics, 117*(3), 771–780.
- McLaughlin, A., Campbell, F. A., Pungello, E. P., & Skinner, M. (2007). Early educational child care reduces depressive symptoms in young adults reared in low-income families. *Child Development, 78*(3), 746–756.
- Sparling, J., Ramey, C. T., Ramey, S. L. (2007). The Abecedarian experience. In M. E. Young, (Ed.) *Early Child Development – From measurement to action: A priority for growth and equity* (pp. 81-99). Washington, DC: The World Bank. <http://www.worldbank.org/children>
- Judkins, D., St. Pierre, R., Gutmann, B., Goodson, B., von Glatz, A., Hamilton, J., et al. (2008). A study of classroom literacy interventions and outcomes in Even Start. [NCEE 2008-4028]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Campbell, F. A., Wasik, B. H., Pungello, E. P., Burchinal, M. R., Kainz, K., Barbarin, et al. (2008). Young adult outcomes from the Abecedarian and CARE early childhood educational interventions. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 23*, 452–466.
- Pungello, E. P., Kainz, K., Burchinal, M. R., Wasik, B. H., Sparling, J. J., Ramey, C. R., et al. (In press). Early educational intervention, early cumulative risk, and the early home environment as predictors of young adult outcomes within a high-risk sample. *Child Development*. www.TeachingStrategies.com Toll

Free: 1.800.637.3652 Page 5

www.TeachingStrategies.com Toll Free: 1.800.637.3652 Page 6

Sparling, J. J. (1989). Narrow- and broad-spectrum curricula, two necessary parts of the special child's program. *Infants and Young Children*, 1(4), 1–8.

Sparling, J., Lewis, I., Ramey, C. T., Wasik, B. H., Bryant, D. M., & LaVange, L. M. (1991). Partners: a curriculum to help premature, low-birth-weight infants get off to a good start. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 11(1), 36–55.

Sparling, J., Lewis, I., & Ramey, C. (1995). *Partners for learning: Birth to 36 Months*. (1998). *Compañeros en el aprendizaje*. Lewisville, NC: Kaplan Press.

Ramey, C. T., Sparling, J. J., Bryant, D., & Wasik, B. H. (1997). The intervention model. In R. T. Gross, D. Spiker, & C. Haynes (Eds.), *Helping Low Birth Weight, Premature Babies: The Infant Health and Development Program* (pp. 17–26). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Wasik, B. H., Bryant, D. M., Lyons, C., Sparling, J. J., & Ramey, C. T. (1997). Home visiting. In R. T. Gross, D. Spiker, & C. Hayes (Eds.), *Helping low birth weight premature babies: The Infant Health and Development Program* (pp. 27–41). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Wasik, B. H., Bryant, D. M., Sparling, J. J., & Ramey, C. T. (1997). Maternal problem solving. In R. T. Gross, D. Spiker, & C. Hayes (Eds.), *Helping low birth weight premature babies: The Infant Health and Development Program* (pp. 276–289). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Sparling, J., & Lewis, I. (2000–2004). *LearningGames: The Abecedarian Curriculum* (5 volumes). *AprendamosJugando: El programa de estudios abecedario*, (5 volumes). Hillsborough, NC: MindNurture, Inc.

Sparling, J. (2004). Earliest literacy: From birth to age 3. In B. H. Wasik (Ed.), *Handbook of family literacy* (pp. 45–56). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Sparling, J. (2005). *Conversation books*, (3 titles: *Finding toys*, *Getting dressed*, and *Look what we can do*). Lewisville, NC: Kaplan Press.

Sparling, J., & Sparling, K. (2006). *Conversation books, A bilingual manual for interactive book reading/Libros de conversación, Manual bilingüe para la lectura interactiva de libros*. Lewisville, NC: Kaplan Press.

Sparling, J. (2007). Teachers notice/nudge/narrate to encourage children to see/show/say. *Children and Families*, 21(1), 12–16.

Dodge, D. T. & Sparling, J. (2007) *Linking families to the curriculum: Sharing the power of everyday activities*. *Children and Families*, 21(3), 16–21.

Sparling, J., & Lewis, I. (2007). *The Creative Curriculum® LearningGames®, Birth – 12 months*. (2008). *El Currículo Creativo AprendamosJugando, Los bebés de 0 a 12 meses*. Washington, DC: Teaching Strategies, Inc.

Sparling, J., & Lewis, I. (2007). *The Creative Curriculum® LearningGames®, 12 – 24 months*. (2008). *El Currículo Creativo AprendamosJugando, Los bebés de 12 a 24 meses*. Washington,

DC: Teaching Strategies, Inc.

Sparling, J., & Lewis, I. (2007). *The Creative Curriculum® LearningGames®, 24 – 36 months*. (2008). *El Currículo Creativo AprendamosJugando, Los bebés de 24 a 36 meses*. Washington, DC: Teaching Strategies, Inc.

Sparling, J., & Lewis, I. (2007). *The Creative Curriculum® LearningGames®, 36 – 48 months*. (2008). *El Currículo Creativo Aprendamos Jugando, Los bebés de 36 a 48 meses*. Washington, DC: Teaching Strategies, Inc.

Sparling, J., & Lewis, I. (2007). *The Creative Curriculum® LearningGames®, 48 – 60 months*. (2008). *El Currículo Creativo Aprendamos Jugando, Los bebés de 48 a 60 meses*. Washington, DC: Teaching Strategies, Inc.

Sparling, J., & Mistrett, S. (2009). Impact of adult-child relationships on development and learning. *Middle East Educator*, March-April issue, 20–24.